

Multivariate central limit theorems for averages of fractional Volterra processes and applications to parameter estimation

Ivan Nourdin^{*}, David Nualart[†] and Rola Zintout[‡]

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to establish the multivariate normal convergence for the average of certain Volterra processes constructed from a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H > \frac{1}{2}$. Some applications to parameter estimation are then discussed.

1 Introduction

Let B^H be a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H > \frac{1}{2}$. In this paper, we deal with fractional Volterra processes X_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, of the form

$$X_i(t) = \int_0^t x_i(t-s) dB^H(s), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $x_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable functions satisfying suitable integrability conditions (to be precised later on).

The special case of $k = 1$ and $x_1(u) = \sigma e^{-\theta u}$, with $\sigma, \theta > 0$, corresponds to the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, which may be defined as the unique solution to the stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) &= -\theta X(t) + \sigma \dot{B}^H(t), \quad t > 0 \\ X(0) &= 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

In (1.2) and everywhere else, the dots over X and B^H are used to indicate differentiation with respect to t . More generally, consider the following p -th

^{*}Email: ivan.nourdin@uni.lu; IN was partially supported by the Grant F1R-MTH-PUL-15CONF. (CONFLUENT) from Luxembourg University

[†]Email: nualart@ku.edu; DN was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS1208625.

[‡]Email: rola.zintout@univ-lorraine.fr.

order stochastic differential equation driven by B^H :

$$\begin{cases} X^{(n)}(t) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \theta_j X^{(j)}(t) + \sigma \dot{B}^H(t), \quad t > 0 \\ X(0) &= \dots = X^{(p-1)}(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

In (1.3), the superscript $^{(j)}$ denotes j -fold differentiation with respect to t . Then, it can be proved that the p -dimensional process $(X, X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(p-1)})$ is of the form (1.1) with $k = p$ for suitable functions $x_i, i = 1, \dots, k$.

Considering that data are sampled from an underlying continuous-time process, the solution X to (1.3) can, for instance, serve as a model for (possibly irregularly spaced) discrete time long memory data. In such a situation, parameters $\theta_0, \dots, \theta_{k-1}$ are usually unknown and, therefore, they must be accurately calibrated from the observation of X . This is how we naturally arrive to the issue of showing a central limit theorem (CLT) for the parameter estimators in the model (1.3), in order, e.g., to construct confidence intervals. For instance, in the situation where those estimators are obtained by means of the method of moments, we may be naturally led to show a multivariate CLT for random vectors taking the form

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T [(X^{(i_j)}(t))^{m_j} - E(X^{(i_j)}(t))^{m_j}] dt : j = 1, \dots, k \right\},$$

for given powers $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and differentiation indices $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$. We refer to [1, 2, 3] for different types of central limit theorems for parameter estimators in this type of models.

Motivated by these statistical problems, our purpose is to derive general central limit theorems for functionals of the process X solution to (1.1). More precisely, let $f_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, k$, be real mesurable functions satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_i(x) e^{-x^2/2} dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_i^2(x) e^{-x^2/2} dx < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

The second condition in (1.4) ensures that f_i can be expanded in Hermite polynomials, namely

$$f_i = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_{i,l} H_l \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} l! a_{i,l}^2 < \infty, \quad (1.5)$$

whereas from the first one we deduce that $a_{i,0} = 0$. The first goal of the present paper is to answer the following question.

Question A: As $T \rightarrow \infty$, can we exhibit reasonable conditions ensuring that a multivariate CLT holds for the family of random vectors $U_T =$

$(U_{1,T}, \dots, U_{k,T})$? Here

$$U_{i,T} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T f_i \left(\frac{X_i(t)}{\sigma_i(t)} \right) dt, \quad \text{with } \sigma_i(t) = \sqrt{E[X_i(t)^2]}, \quad (1.6)$$

and X_i . $i = 1, \dots, k$ are the fractional Volterra processes solution to (1.1).

Since, in general, the processes X_i are not stationary, we stress that one cannot directly apply the classical Breuer-Major theorem (see Theorem 2.3) to positively answer Question A. Nevertheless, following the approach developed in Nourdin, Peccati and Podolskij [5] (see also [4, Chapter 7]) we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let q_i denote the Hermite rank of f_i , that is, the smallest value of l such that the coefficient $a_{i,l}$ of H_l in (1.5) is different from zero. Set $q_* = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} q_i$ and assume that $q_* \geq 2$. Consider $U_T = (U_{1,T}, \dots, U_{k,T})$, where $U_{i,T}$ is given by (1.6). If $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{1}{2q_*})$ and if the functions x_i defining X_i satisfy both*

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{[0,\infty)^2} |x_i(u)x_j(v)| |v - u - a|^{2H-2} dudv \right)^{q_i \vee q_j} da < \infty \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$\eta_i := \sqrt{H(2H-1) \int_{[0,\infty)^2} x_i(u)x_i(v) |v - u|^{2H-2} dudv} \in (0, \infty), \quad (1.8)$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, k$, then

$$U_T \xrightarrow{\text{law}} N_k(0, \Lambda) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\Lambda = (\Lambda_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{ij} &= \sum_{l=q_i \vee q_j}^{\infty} a_{i,l} a_{j,l} l! \frac{H^l (2H-1)^l}{\eta_i^l \eta_j^l} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{[0,\infty)^2} x_i(u)x_j(v) |v - u - a|^{2H-2} dudv \right)^l da. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

That one must divide by a quantity depending on t in (1.6), namely $\sigma_i(t)$, may appear to be not very convenient for applications. This is why we also address the following related problem.

Question B: Can one find constants $\xi_i > 0$, as well as suitable assumptions on f_i , x_i and H , so that $V_T = (V_{1,T}, \dots, V_{k,T})$ satisfies a CLT? Here

$$V_{i,T} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T f_i \left(\frac{X_i(t)}{\xi_i} \right) dt. \quad (1.11)$$

Whatever the value of ξ_i , observe that the variance of $X_i(t)/\xi_i$ is different from 1 for most of the values of t . For this reason and because Hermite polynomials are the orthogonal polynomials associated with the *standard* Gaussian distribution, it seems difficult to deal with *general* functions f_i while trying to answer Question B. This is why we restrict our analysis to the situation where f_i are *polynomials*, which is not a loss of generality for the applications we have in mind (see Section 4). More precisely, we have the following result, which provides a positive answer to Question B.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $f_i = P_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, are real polynomials and denote by q_i the Hermite rank of P_i . Set $q_* = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} q_i$ and assume that $q_* \geq 2$. Consider $V_T = (V_{1,T}, \dots, V_{k,T})$ given by (1.11), where $\xi_i = \eta_i$ is given by (1.8). If $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{1}{2q_*})$ and if the functions x_i defining X_i satisfy (1.7), (1.8) as well as*

$$\int_{[0,\infty)^2} |x_i(u)x_i(v)| ((u \wedge v) \vee 1) |v - u|^{2H-2} dudv < \infty, \quad (1.12)$$

then

$$V_T \xrightarrow{\text{law}} N_k(0, \Lambda) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.13)$$

with Λ still given by (1.10).

In the last section of our paper, we discuss an application of Theorem 1.2 to the problem of parameter estimation in the fractional CAR(k) model, which generalizes the model introduced in [3].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains preliminary results and concepts. The proof of the two main results, namely Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, is then provided in Section 3. Finally, an application of Theorem 1.2 is discussed in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Fractional Brownian motion

Throughout the paper, $B^H = (B^H(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ denotes a fractional Brownian motion (fBm in short) with Hurst index $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, defined on a complete

probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . That is, B^H is a zero mean Gaussian process with covariance

$$E[B_t^H B_s^H] = \frac{1}{2} (|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}).$$

We further assume that the σ -field \mathcal{F} is the completion of the σ -field generated by B^H . We denote by \mathfrak{H} the closure of the space of step functions on \mathbb{R} endowed with the inner product

$$\langle \mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}, \mathbf{1}_{[c,d]} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = E[(B_b^H - B_a^H)(B_d^H - B_c^H)],$$

for any $a < b$ and $c < d$. We know that the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} is isometric to the Gaussian space spanned by B^H , and we denote this isometry equivalently by $x \rightarrow B^H(x)$ or by $x \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(s) dB^H(s)$.

2.2 Wiener integral against fBm

It is well-known (see, for instance, Nualart [6, Chapter 5]) that any measurable function $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x(u)x(v)| |v-u|^{2H-2} dudv < \infty \quad (2.14)$$

belongs to the space \mathfrak{H} , that is, it can be integrated with respect to B^H . In this case, the Wiener integral $B^H(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(s) dB^H(s)$ satisfies the following isometry property:

$$E \left[|B^H(x)|^2 \right] = \|x\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 = H(2H-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x(u)x(v) |v-u|^{2H-2} dudv.$$

Notice that condition (2.14) can be equivalently rewritten as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|x| * |\tilde{x}|)(t) |t|^{2H-2} dt < \infty, \quad (2.15)$$

with $\tilde{x}(t) = x(-t)$ and where $x * y$ denotes the convolution of two nonnegative or integrable functions $x, y : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$(x * y)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x(u-v) y(v) dv.$$

The following estimate will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1. *For every $x, y : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying the condition (2.14) (extended with $x(u) = y(u) = 0$ whenever $u < 0$) and for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{[0, \infty)^2} x(u)y(v)|v - u - a|^{2H-2} dudv \right)^2 \\ & \leq \int_{[0, \infty)^2} x(u)x(v)|v - u|^{2H-2} dudv \int_{[0, \infty)^2} y(u)y(v)|v - u|^{2H-2} dudv. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^\infty du x(u) \int_0^\infty dv y(v) |v - u - a|^{2H-2} \right)^2 \\ & = \left(\int_0^\infty du x(u) \int_{-a}^\infty dv y(v + a) |v - u|^{2H-2} \right)^2 \\ & = \frac{1}{H^2(2H-1)^2} \left(E \left[\int_0^\infty x(u) dB^H(u) \int_{-a}^\infty y(v + a) dB^H(v) \right] \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{H^2(2H-1)^2} E \left[\left(\int_0^\infty x(u) dB^H(u) \right)^2 \right] E \left[\left(\int_{-a}^\infty y(v + a) dB^H(v) \right)^2 \right] \\ & = \int_{[0, \infty)^2} x(u)x(v)|v - u|^{2H-2} dudv \int_{[0, \infty)^2} y(u)y(v)|v - u|^{2H-2} dudv. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.3 Hermite polynomials and Wiener chaoses

For any integer $p \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}$ and $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$, respectively, the p th tensor product and the p th symmetric tensor product of \mathfrak{H} . The p th *Wiener chaos* of X , denoted by \mathcal{H}_p , is the closed linear subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ generated by the random variables $\{H_p(B^H(x)), x \in \mathfrak{H}, \|x\|_{\mathfrak{H}} = 1\}$, where H_p is the p th Hermite polynomial defined by

$$H_p(x) = (-1)^p e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^p}{dx^p} (e^{-x^2/2}).$$

The mapping $I_p(x^{\otimes p}) = H_p(B^H(x))$ provides a linear isometry between $\mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$ (equipped with the modified norm $\sqrt{p!} \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes p}}$) and \mathcal{H}_p (equipped with the $L^2(\Omega)$ norm).

2.4 Fourth moment theorem

The following result, known as the *fourth moment theorem*, is a combination of the seminal results of Nualart and Peccati [7] and Peccati and Tudor

[8]. Given a sequence of random vectors in a fixed Wiener chaos whose covariance matrix converges, the fourth moment theorem provides necessary and sufficient conditions for the convergence to a normal distribution. We refer to Nourdin and Peccati [4] for an extensive discussion on this theorem, including quantitative versions obtained by means of Stein's method and a wide range of applications and developments.

In the fourth moment theorem, a crucial role is played by the notion of *contractions*. Let $\{e_k, k \geq 1\}$ be a complete orthonormal system in \mathfrak{H} . Given $f \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot p}$, $g \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot q}$ and $r \in \{0, \dots, p \wedge q\}$, the r th *contraction* of f and g is the element of $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(p+q-2r)}$ defined by

$$f \otimes_r g = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r=1}^{\infty} \langle f, e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_r} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes r}} \otimes \langle g, e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_r} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes r}}. \quad (2.16)$$

Theorem 2.2 (Fourth Moment Theorem). *Let $k \geq 2$ and $q_k \geq \dots \geq q_1 \geq 1$ be some fixed integers, and consider a family of kernels*

$$\{(f_{1,T}, \dots, f_{k,T})\}_{T>0}$$

such that $f_{j,T} \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot q_j}$ for every $T > 0$ and every $j = 1, \dots, k$. Assume further that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} E [I_{q_i}(f_{i,T}) I_{q_j}(f_{j,T})] = \Lambda_{ij}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq k.$$

Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i) *For every $i = 1, \dots, k$ and every $p = 1, \dots, q_i - 1$,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{i,T} \otimes_p f_{i,T}\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(q_i-p)}} = 0;$$

(ii) *as $T \rightarrow \infty$, the vector $(I_{q_1}(f_{1,T}), \dots, I_{q_k}(f_{k,T}))$ converges in distribution to the k -dimensional Gaussian vector $N_k(0, \Lambda)$.*

2.5 Breuer-Major theorem

We conclude this preliminary section with a continuous-time version of the celebrated Breuer-Major CLT for stationary Gaussian sequences.

Theorem 2.3 (Breuer-Major). *Let $(X(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a zero mean stationary Gaussian process with unit variance, and let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) e^{-x^2/2} dx < \infty$. Let us expand f in terms of Hermite polynomials, namely*

$$f = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l H_l \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} l! a_l^2 < \infty.$$

Suppose that $a_0 = 0$ and let q denote the Hermite rank of f (that is, q is the smallest value of l such that the coefficient a_l of H_l is different from zero). Finally, assume that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho(t)|^q dt < \infty$, with $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the autocovariance function associated with X , that is,

$$E[X(s)X(t)] = \rho(t-s), \quad t, s \geq 0.$$

Then, as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T f(X(t)) dt \xrightarrow{\text{Law}} N(0, \sigma^2), \quad (2.17)$$

where $\sigma^2 = \sum_{l=q}^{\infty} l! a_l^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(t)^l dt \in (0, \infty)$.

3 Proofs of the main results

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For the sake of clarity, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is divided into several steps.

Step 1: Going away from zero. We claim that, in order to prove (1.9) it is enough to show that, for at least one *fixed* $T_0 > 0$, one has

$$\tilde{U}_T \xrightarrow{\text{law}} N_k(0, \Lambda) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.18)$$

where $\tilde{U}_T = (\tilde{U}_{1,T}, \dots, \tilde{U}_{k,T})$, with

$$\tilde{U}_{j,T} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T_0}^T f_j \left(\frac{X_j(t)}{\sigma_j(t)} \right) dt.$$

(The only difference between $U_{j,T}$ and $\tilde{U}_{j,T}$ is that the integral defining the former is between 0 and T .) Indeed, using among other the Hermite expansion (1.5) of each f_j and then Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain that $|E[X_j(t)X_j(s)]| \leq \sigma_j(t)\sigma_j(s)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\left(U_{j,T} - \tilde{U}_{j,T} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{l=q_j}^{\infty} l! a_{j,l}^2 \int_{[0, T_0]^2} \left(\frac{E[X_j(t)X_j(s)]}{\sigma_j(t)\sigma_j(s)} \right)^l ds dt \leq \frac{T_0^2}{T} \sum_{l=q_j}^{\infty} l! a_{j,l}^2 = O(T^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, if (3.18) holds true, then (1.9) takes place as well.

Step 2: *Checking that the covariance matrix of \tilde{U}_T converges whenever f_j are Hermite polynomials.* We can write, for any j and as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_j(t)^2 &= H(2H-1) \int_{[0,t]^2} x_j(u)x_j(v)|v-u|^{2H-2}dudv \\ &\rightarrow H(2H-1) \int_{[0,\infty)^2} x_j(u)x_j(v)|v-u|^{2H-2}dudv = \eta_j^2.\end{aligned}$$

Let us choose $T_0 > 0$ large enough so that $\sigma_j(t)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\eta_j^2$ for all $t \geq T_0$ and all $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. We shall check the convergence of the covariance matrix of \tilde{U}_T with this T_0 at hand and when $f_j = H_{p_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, for given integers $p_j \geq q_j$. In this case, one has, for any $T > T_0$ and any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}E[\tilde{U}_{i,T}\tilde{U}_{j,T}] &= \mathbf{1}_{\{p_i=p_j\}} \frac{p_i!}{T} \int_{[T_0,T]^2} \left(\frac{E[X_i(s)X_j(t)]}{\sigma_i(s)\sigma_j(t)} \right)^{p_i} dsdt \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\{p_i=p_j\}} \frac{p_i!}{T} \int_{T_0}^T db \int_{b-T}^{b-T_0} da \left(\frac{E[X_i(b)X_j(b-a)]}{\sigma_i(b)\sigma_j(b-a)} \right)^{p_i} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\{p_i=p_j\}} \frac{p_i!}{T} \int_{T_0}^T db \int_{b-T}^{b-T_0} da \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{H(2H-1)}{\sigma_i(b)\sigma_j(b-a)} \int_{[0,b] \times [0,b-a]} x_i(u)x_j(v)|v-u-a|^{2H-2}dudv \right)^{p_i} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\{p_i=p_j\}} p_i! \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(T, a) da, \tag{3.19}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(T, a) &= \mathbf{1}_{\{|a| \leq T-T_0\}} \frac{1}{T} \int_{T_0 \vee (a+T_0)}^{T \wedge (a+T)} \\ &\quad \left(\frac{H(2H-1)}{\sigma_i(b)\sigma_j(b-a)} \int_{[0,b] \times [0,b-a]} x_i(u)x_j(v)|v-u-a|^{2H-2}dudv \right)^{p_i} db.\end{aligned}$$

Using first that $\sigma_i(t)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\eta_i^2$ for all $t \geq T_0$ and all i (by definition of T_0) and then Lemma 2.1, we deduce that, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $T > T_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{1}_{\{p_i=p_j\}} |\Phi(T, a)| \\ &\leq \mathbf{1}_{\{p_i=p_j\}} \left(\frac{2H(2H-1)}{\eta_i\eta_j} \int_{[0,\infty)^2} |x_i(u)x_j(v)| |v-u-a|^{2H-2}dudv \right)^{p_i} \\ &\leq 2^{p_i} \left(\frac{H(2H-1)}{\eta_i\eta_j} \int_{[0,\infty)^2} |x_i(u)x_j(v)| |v-u-a|^{2H-2}dudv \right)^{q_i \vee q_j}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Moreover, due to the fact that $\sigma_i(t) \rightarrow \eta_i > 0$, one has, as $T \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(T, a) &= \mathbf{1}_{\{p_i=p_j\}} H^{p_i} (2H-1)^{p_i} \mathbf{1}_{\{|a| \leq T-T_0\}} \int_{\frac{T_0}{T} \vee \frac{a+T_0}{T}}^{1 \wedge (\frac{a}{T}+1)} \\ &\quad \left(\frac{\int_{[0,bT] \times [0,bT-a]} x_i(u) x_j(v) |v-u-a|^{2H-2} du dv}{\sigma_i(bT) \sigma_j(bT-a)} \right)^{p_i} db \\ &\rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{p_i=p_j\}} \left(\frac{H(2H-1)}{\eta_i \eta_j} \int_{[0,\infty)^2} x_i(u) x_j(v) |v-u-a|^{2H-2} du dv \right)^{p_i}. \end{aligned}$$

By dominated convergence (see also (1.7)), one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned} E[\tilde{U}_{i,T} \tilde{U}_{j,T}] &\rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{p_i=p_j\}} \frac{p_i! H^{p_i} (2H-1)^{p_i}}{\eta_i^{p_i} \eta_j^{p_i}} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{[0,\infty)^2} x_i(u) x_j(v) |v-u-a|^{2H-2} du dv \right)^{p_i} da. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Observe that (3.21) coincides with Λ_{ij} after taking into account that $f_i = H_{p_i}$ and $f_j = H_{p_j}$.

Step 3: Proving (3.18) whenever f_j are Hermite polynomials. To do so, we shall make use of the Fourth Moment Theorem 2.2. As in the previous step, suppose that $f_j = H_{p_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, for some $p_j \geq q_j$. One then has $\tilde{U}_{j,T} = I_{p_j}(g_{j,T})$, with

$$g_{j,T} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T_0}^T \frac{e_{j,t}^{\otimes p_j}}{\sigma_j(t)^{p_j}} dt. \quad (3.22)$$

In (3.22), $e_{j,t}$ is a short-hand notation for the function $u \mapsto x_j(t-u) \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(u)$. According to Theorem 2.2, to conclude that (3.18) takes place we are left to check that, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and all $r \in \{1, \dots, p_j-1\}$,

$$\|g_{j,T} \otimes_r g_{j,T}\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.23)$$

Let us compute $g_{j,T} \otimes_r g_{j,T}$. We find

$$g_{j,T} \otimes_r g_{j,T} = \frac{1}{T} \int_{[T_0,T]^2} \frac{e_{j,t_1}^{\otimes(p_j-r)} \otimes e_{j,t_2}^{\otimes(p_j-r)}}{\sigma_j(t_1)^{p_j} \sigma_j(t_2)^{p_j}} E[X_j(t_1) X_j(t_2)]^r dt_1 dt_2.$$

As a result, using moreover that $\sigma_j(t)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \eta_j^2$ for $T > T_0$ and introducing

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_j(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^t |x_j(t-s)| dB_s^H \text{ (after extending } B^H \text{ to the whole } \mathbb{R}), \text{ we obtain} \\
&= \frac{1}{T^2} \int_{[T_0, T]^4} \frac{1}{(\sigma_j(t_1)\sigma_j(t_2)\sigma_j(t_3)\sigma_j(t_4))^{p_j}} E[X_j(t_1)X_j(t_2)]^r E[X_j(t_3)X_j(t_4)]^r \\
&\quad \times E[X_j(t_1)X_j(t_3)]^{p_j-r} E[X_j(t_2)X_j(t_4)]^{p_j-r} dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dt_4 \\
&\leq \frac{4^{p_j}}{\eta_j^{4p_j} T^2} \int_{[0, T]^4} E[Z_j(t_1)Z_j(t_2)]^r E[Z_j(t_3)Z_j(t_4)]^r \\
&\quad \times E[Z_j(t_1)Z_j(t_3)]^{p_j-r} E[Z_j(t_2)Z_j(t_4)]^{p_j-r} dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dt_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Set $\tau_j^2 = E[Z_j(0)^2]$ and let $h_{j,T}$ denote the function

$$h_{j,T} = \frac{1}{\tau_j^{p_j} \sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \tilde{e}_{j,t}^{\otimes p_j} dt, \quad \text{where } \tilde{e}_{j,t}(u) = |x_j(t-u)| \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, t]}(u).$$

Expressing the right-hand side of (3.24) by means of $\|h_{j,T} \otimes_r h_{j,T}\|^2$ leads to

$$\|g_{j,T} \otimes_r g_{j,T}\|^2 \leq \frac{4^{p_j} \tau_j^{4p_j}}{\eta_j^{4p_j}} \|h_{j,T} \otimes_r h_{j,T}\|^2. \tag{3.25}$$

On the other hand, it is straightforward to check that Z_j is a *stationary* Gaussian process and that

$$I_{p_j}(h_{j,T}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T H_{p_j} \left(\frac{Z_j(t)}{\tau_j} \right) dt.$$

From assumption (1.7) and with Lemma 2.1, we deduce that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_{Z_j}(t)|^{p_j} dt < \infty$. Indeed,

$$\rho_{Z_j}(t) = H(2H-1) \int_{[0, \infty)^2} |x_j(u)x_j(v)| |u-v-t|^{2H-2} dudv.$$

As a consequence, Breuer-Major Theorem 2.3 implies that $I_{p_j}(h_{j,T})$ converges in law to a Gaussian. According to Theorem 2.2, one deduces that $\|h_{j,T} \otimes_r h_{j,T}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, implying in turn that (3.23) holds true (see (3.25)), and thus completing the proof of (1.9) in the particular case where $f_j = H_{p_j}$.

Step 4: Proving (1.9) whenever f_j are polynomials. More precisely, let us suppose in this step that, for each $j = 1, \dots, k$ one has

$$f_j = \sum_{l=q_j}^{m_j} a_{j,l} H_l, \tag{3.26}$$

for a *finite* integer m_j . Owing to the Cramér-Wold device, it is actually immediate to apply the conclusion of Step 3 in order to get (1.9) in the case where f_j given by (3.26).

Step 5: Proving (1.9) in all generality. Finally, let us consider the general situation of f_j given by (1.5). To reach the conclusion in this case, and taken into account Step 4, it remains to show that, for any fixed $j = 1, \dots, k$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T_0}^T \sum_{l=m}^{\infty} a_{j,l} H_l \left(\frac{X_j(t)}{\sigma_j(t)} \right) dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using identity (3.19) and its associated bound (3.20), one has, for any fixed $j = 1, \dots, k$:

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T_0}^T \sum_{l=m}^{\infty} a_{j,l} H_l \left(\frac{X_j(t)}{\sigma_j(t)} \right) dt \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \int_{[T_0, T]^2} \sum_{l=m}^{\infty} l! a_{j,l}^2 \left(\frac{E[X_j(t)X_j(s)]}{\sigma_j(t)\sigma_j(s)} \right)^l ds dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T} \int_{[T_0, T]^2} \left(\frac{E[X_j(t)X_j(s)]}{\sigma_j(t)\sigma_j(s)} \right)^{q_j} ds dt \times \sum_{l=m}^{\infty} l! a_{j,l}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{l=m}^{\infty} l! a_{j,l}^2 \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2H(2H-1)}{\eta_j^2} \int_{[0, \infty)^2} |x_j(u)x_j(v)| |v-u-a|^{2H-2} dudv \right)^{q_j} da, \end{aligned}$$

which, thanks to (1.7) and Lemma 2.1, tends to zero as $m \rightarrow \infty$. \square

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Set

$$Y_{j,t} = \int_{-\infty}^t x_j(t-s) dB^H(s) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{j,t} = \int_{-\infty}^0 x_j(t-s) dB^H(s),$$

so that $Y_j = X_j + L_j$. It is straightforward to check that Y_j is stationary and that $\eta_j^2 = \text{Var}(Y_j(0))$.

We have $\eta_j^2 = \text{Var}(Y_j(t))$ for all t by stationarity. So, since P has Hermite rank q_j and since (1.7) takes place, by applying the same arguments than

the ones used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 but with Y_j instead of X_j , we can prove that, as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T P_1 \left(\frac{Y_1(t)}{\eta_1} \right) dt, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T P_k \left(\frac{Y_k(t)}{\eta_k} \right) dt \right) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} N_k(0, \Lambda).$$

Thus, to reach the desired conclusion it suffices to show that, under (1.12) and for any fixed $j = 1, \dots, k$, and for any integer $p \geq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T (X_{j,t}^p - Y_{j,t}^p) dt \xrightarrow{L^1} 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.27)$$

We shall divide the proof of (3.27) into two steps.

Step 1: We shall show that $E \int_0^\infty L_{j,t}^{2k} dt$ is bounded for any integer $k \geq 1$. Indeed, one can write, with μ_{2k} denoting the k -th even moment of the standard Gaussian,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_0^\infty L_{j,t}^{2k} dt \\ &= \mu_{2k} H^k (2H-1)^k \int_0^\infty dt \left(\int_{(-\infty, 0]^2} dudv x_j(t-u) x_j(t-v) |v-u|^{2H-2} \right)^k \\ &\leq \mu_{2k} H^k (2H-1)^k \int_0^\infty dt \left(\int_{[t, \infty)^2} dudv |x_j(u) x_j(v)| |v-u|^{2H-2} \right)^k \\ &\leq \mu_{2k} H^k (2H-1)^k \int_{[0, \infty)^{2k}} du_1 \dots du_{2k} |x_j(u_1)| \dots |x_j(u_{2k})| \\ &\quad \times |u_2 - u_1|^{2H-2} \dots |u_{2k} - u_{2k-1}|^{2H-2} \times \min\{u_i, i = 1, \dots, 2k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using that $\min\{u_i, i = 1, \dots, 2k\} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \min\{u_{2i-1}, u_{2i}\}$, and taking into account condition (1.12), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_0^\infty L_{j,t}^{2k} dt \\ &\leq k \mu_{2k} H^k (2H-1)^k \left(\int_{[0, \infty)^2} dudv |x_j(u) x_j(v)| |v-u|^{2H-2} \right)^{k-1} \\ &\quad \times \int_{[0, \infty)^2} dudv u \wedge v |x_j(u) x_j(v)| |v-u|^{2H-2} \\ &\leq k \mu_{2k} H^k (2H-1)^k \left(\int_{[0, \infty)^2} dudv ((u \wedge v) \vee 1) |x_j(u) x_j(v)| |v-u|^{2H-2} \right)^k < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Let us observe that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T (X_{j,t}^p - Y_{j,t}^p) dt \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^p \binom{p}{k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T dt |Y_{j,t}|^{p-k} |L_{j,t}|^k. \quad (3.28)$$

For any fixed $p \geq 1$ and any $1 \leq k \leq p$, one has, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Step 1 and that Y is stationary,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} E \int_0^T |Y_{j,t}|^{p-k} |L_{j,t}|^k dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} E \int_0^{\rho T} |Y_{j,t}|^{p-k} |L_{j,t}|^k dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} E \int_{\rho T}^T |Y_{j,t}|^{p-k} |L_{j,t}|^k dt \\ &\leq \text{cst} \left(\sqrt{\rho} + \sqrt{\int_{\rho T}^{\infty} E[L_{j,t}^{2k}] dt} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \rho < 1$. So, by letting $T \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\rho \rightarrow 0$, the desired conclusion (3.27) follows, thus concluding the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

4 An application to the estimation of parameters in the fractional CAR(k) model

Consider the fractional CAR(k) model, that is, the solution X to:

$$X^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \theta_i X^{(i)}(t) + \sigma \dot{B}^H(t), \quad t > 0. \quad (4.29)$$

Here, $X^{(k)}$ indicates the k th derivative of the solution process X and θ_i are real parameters considered as being unknown. Moreover, up to appropriate scaling it is not a loss of generality to assume that $\sigma = 1$.

In the sequel, we are going to illustrate the use of our Theorem 1.2 to the estimation problem in (4.29). To keep the things as simple as possible, we shall only consider the case $k = 2$, we shall assume zero initial conditions for X and we shall put some restrictions on θ_0, θ_1 .

More precisely, let X be defined as the unique solution to

$$\ddot{X}(t) = \theta_0 X(t) + \theta_1 \dot{X}(t) + \dot{B}^H(t), \quad X(0) = \dot{X}(0) = 0, \quad (4.30)$$

with $\theta_0, \theta_1 < 0$ and $\theta_1^2 + 4\theta_0 > 0$. The roots of the characteristic equation $r^2 - \theta_1 r - \theta_0 = 0$ are

$$p = \frac{\theta_1 + \sqrt{\theta_1^2 + 4\theta_0}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \frac{\theta_1 - \sqrt{\theta_1^2 + 4\theta_0}}{2}. \quad (4.31)$$

The solution X to (4.30) is given by

$$X(t) = \int_0^t \frac{e^{p(t-s)} - e^{q(t-s)}}{p - q} dB^H(s).$$

The processes $X_1 = X$ and $X_2 = \dot{X}$ are of the form (1.1), with the corresponding functions

$$x_1(t) = \frac{e^{pt} - e^{qt}}{p - q} \quad \text{and} \quad x_2(t) = \dot{x}_1(t) = \frac{pe^{pt} - qe^{qt}}{p - q}.$$

We shall apply Theorem 1.2 to $X_1 = X$ and $X_2 = \dot{X}$ and to the polynomials $P_1(x) = P_2(x) = x^2 - 1$, which have Hermite rank 1. Since p and q are negative numbers, the functions x_1 and x_2 satisfy conditions (1.7) (1.8) and (1.12). As a consequence, Theorem 1.2 implies the following convergence in law as T tends to infinity, provided $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$:

$$\sqrt{T} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (X(t)^2, \dot{X}(t)^2) dt - m_\infty \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N_2(0, \Lambda), \quad (4.32)$$

where $m_\infty = (\eta_1^2, \eta_2^2)$, with η_i , $i = 1, 2$, defined in (1.8), and Λ is the covariance matrix appearing in (1.10). In order to explicitly compute m_∞ and Λ , we shall use a Fourier transform approach.

Computation of m_∞ : The first component of the vector m_∞ is given by

$$\eta_1^2 = H(2H - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x_1 * \tilde{x}_1)(t) |t|^{2H-2} dt.$$

The Fourier transform of x_1 is given by

$$\mathcal{F}x_1(\xi) = \frac{1}{p - q} \left(\frac{1}{p - i\xi} - \frac{1}{q - i\xi} \right).$$

On the other hand, the Fourier transform of $|t|^{2H-2}$ is $\kappa_{2H-2} |\xi|^{1-2H}$, for some constant κ_{2H-2} . Therefore, using Plancherel theorem we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1^2 &= \frac{d_H}{(p - q)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{p - i\xi} - \frac{1}{q - i\xi} \right|^2 |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi \\ &= \frac{d_H}{(p - q)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{p^2 + \xi^2} + \frac{1}{q^2 + \xi^2} - \frac{2}{pq + \xi^2} \right) |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi \\ &= \frac{e_H}{(p - q)^2} (|p|^{-2H} + |q|^{-2H} - 2(pq)^{-H}) = \frac{e_H (|p|^{-H} - |q|^{-H})^2}{(p - q)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_H = 2d_H \int_0^\infty \frac{\xi^{1-2H} d\xi}{1+\xi^2}$ and $d_H = \kappa_{2H-2} H(2H-1)$.

For the second component of m_∞ we can write

$$\eta_2^2 = E \left[\left(\int_0^\infty \frac{pe^{pt} - qe^{qt}}{p-q} dB^H(t) \right)^2 \right] = H(2H-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x_2 * \tilde{x}_2)(t) |t|^{2H-2} dt.$$

The Fourier transform of x_2 is given by

$$\mathcal{F}x_2(\xi) = \frac{1}{p-q} \left(\frac{p}{p-i\xi} - \frac{q}{q-i\xi} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_2^2 &= \frac{d_H}{(p-q)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{p}{p-i\xi} - \frac{q}{q-i\xi} \right|^2 |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi \\ &= \frac{d_H}{(p-q)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{p^2}{p^2+\xi^2} + \frac{q^2}{q^2+\xi^2} - \frac{2pq}{pq+\xi^2} \right) |\xi|^{1-2H} d\xi \\ &= \frac{e_H}{(p-q)^2} (|p|^{2-2H} + |q|^{2-2H} - 2(pq)^{1-H}) = \frac{e_H(|p|^{1-H} - |q|^{1-H})^2}{(p-q)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Computation of the matrix Λ : From (1.10), taking into account that $P_1 = P_2 = H_2$, we obtain

$$\Lambda_{ij} = 2H^2(2H-1)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (x_i * \tilde{x}_j)(u) (x_i * \tilde{x}_j)(v) |u+a|^{2H-2} |v+a|^{2H-2} dudvda.$$

We know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u+a|^{2H-2} |v+a|^{2H-2} da = k_H |u-v|^{4H-3},$$

for some constant k_H . Therefore, using again the Fourier transform, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{ij} &= k_H 2H^2(2H-1)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x_i * \tilde{x}_j)(u) (x_i * \tilde{x}_j)(v) |u-v|^{4H-3} dudv \\ &= a_H \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}x_i(\xi)|^2 |\mathcal{F}x_j(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^{2-4H} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_H = k_H 2H^2(2H-1)^2 \kappa_{4H-3}$. The previous computations lead to the following expression for the components of the matrix Λ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{1,1} &= \frac{1}{(p-q)^4} \left(\alpha_H (|p|^{-1-4H} + |q|^{-1-4H} + 4(pq)^{-\frac{1}{2}-2H}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta_H \left(\frac{2}{p^2-q^2} (|q|^{1-4H} - |p|^{1-4H}) - \frac{4}{p^2-pq} ((pq)^{\frac{1}{2}-2H} - |p|^{1-4H}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{4}{q^2-pq} ((pq)^{\frac{1}{2}-2H} - |q|^{1-4H}) \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{1,2} = & \frac{1}{(p-q)^4} \left(\alpha_H (|p|^{1-4H} + |q|^{1-4H} + 4(pq)^{\frac{1}{2}-2H}) \right. \\ & + \beta_H \left(\frac{p^2+q^2}{p^2-q^2} (|q|^{1-4H} - |p|^{1-4H}) - 2 \frac{p^2+pq}{p^2-pq} ((pq)^{\frac{1}{2}-2H} - |p|^{1-4H}) \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 2 \frac{q^2+pq}{q^2-pq} ((pq)^{\frac{1}{2}-2H} - |q|^{1-4H}) \right) \right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{2,2} = & \frac{1}{(p-q)^4} \left(\alpha_H (|p|^{3-4H} + |q|^{-4H} + 4(pq)^{-\frac{3}{2}-2H}) \right. \\ & + \beta_H \left(\frac{2p^2q^2}{p^2-q^2} (|q|^{1-4H} - |p|^{1-4H}) - \frac{4p^3q}{p^2-pq} ((pq)^{\frac{1}{2}-2H} - |p|^{1-4H}) \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{4pq^3}{q^2-pq} ((pq)^{\frac{1}{2}-2H} - |q|^{1-4H}) \right) \right).\end{aligned}$$

In the above expressions the constants α_H and β_H are given by

$$\alpha_H = 2a_H \int_0^\infty \frac{\xi^{2-4H} d\xi}{(1+\xi^2)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_H = 2a_H \int_0^\infty \frac{\xi^{2-4H} d\xi}{1+\xi^2}.$$

References

- [1] Y. Hu and D. Nualart (2010): Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Statist. Probab. Letters* **11-12**, 1030–1038.
- [2] M. L. Kleptsyna and A. Le Breton (2002): Statistical analysis of the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type process. *Stat. Inference Stoch. Process.* **5**, 229–248.
- [3] N. Lin and S.V. Lototsky (2014): Second order continuous-time non-stationary Gaussian autoregression . *Stat. Inference Stoch. Process* **17**, no. 1, 19–49.
- [4] I. Nourdin and G. Peccati (2012): *Normal Approximations with Malliavin Calculus. From Stein's Method to Universality*. Cambridge University Press.
- [5] I. Nourdin, G. Peccati and M. Podolskij (2011): Quantitative Breuer-Major theorems. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **121**, 793–812.
- [6] D. Nualart (2006): *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2nd edition.

- [7] D. Nualart and G. Peccati (2005): Central limit theorems for sequences of multiple stochastic integrals. *Ann. Probab.* **33**, no. 1, 177–193.
- [8] G. Peccati and C. A. Tudor (2004): Gaussian limits for vector-valued multiple stochastic integrals. *Sém. Probab.* **XXXVIII**, 247–262.